

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,317 04/28/2004		RAY A. REYES	FIS920040033US1	3316	
23413	7590	06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
CANTOR (TRAN, KHOI H		
55 GRIFFIN BLOOMFIE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , ,,				3651	
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	tion No. Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	10/709,317	REYES ET AL.	REYES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Khoi H. Tran	3651					
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a period of the communication and the communication are communication as a period of the communication are communication are communication are communication are communication. 	ppears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress -				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTE	DATE OF THIS COMN 1.136(a). In no event, however, od will apply and will expire SIX (oute, cause the application to become	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	May 2006						
2a)⊠		nis action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as the same as the							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims		,					
<u> </u>		n e						
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
_	The above claim(s) <u>5-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	for election requiremen	n t					
		or election requiremen	IL.					
	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exami							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ad	ccepted or b) objecte	ed to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre							
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreion All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	d.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	d in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have	been received in this Nationa	al Stage				
	application from the International Bure							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copie	s not received.					
			Kla: D. 12m					
Attack	A/_\		KHOI H. TRAN					
Attachmen	• •	, 	PRIMARY EXAMINE	7				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Inter Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (P7er:	FO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawano et al. 6,092,678 in view of Kato et al. 6,460,711.

Kawano et al. '678 disclose a system and method for providing dynamic verification and alignment of production tool load ports in an automated material handling environment per claimed invention. The system and method comprise projecting plurality of light beams from the load port to a detector mounted on the overhead transport vehicle (Figure 1, column 7, lines 9-12). The system and method comprise detecting and reading values received from the light beams, and calculating an offset value operable for compensating for the offset without taking the production tool offline. The system comprises wireless communication means and control logic means (Figures 1, 2, and 4). Said wireless communication means obviously comprises a modem. Kawano et al. '678 system comprises plurality of load ports (Figures 5 and 7), each with a specific location within the system. It is obvious that the system would comprise a tool map containing distance between load ports/ production tools and the identification of each load port so that the controller can perform specific teaching and moving of the OHT to the load ports. It is obvious that an offset value for a specific load

port would have to be correspondingly identified with said load port and stored within the obvious tool map for specific teaching purposes. However, Kawano et al. 678 is silent as to the usage of laser beams.

Kato et al. '711 disclose method for providing dynamic verification and alignment of production tool loadports. Kato et al. '711 teach that it is commonly well known to use laser beam in replacement for LED.

It would have been obvious for a person with ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have used laser beams instead of the LED's within Kawano et al. '678 system because it facilitates another well known light generating means for optical sensing system, as taught by Kato et al. '711.

In regards to claim 2, it is obvious that the tool map is stored internally to the overhead transport vehicle since Kawano et al. '678 tool map is shown hard-wired to the light detector side. In addition, locating control means comprising tool map on the overhead transport vehicle is known per Kato et al. '711.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 10-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3651

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khoi H. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khoi H Tran

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3651

KHT 06/21/2006